

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION	
10/021,884	/021,884 12/14/2001		Heidi Boerzel	C4078(C)	2752
201	7590	01/20/2004		EXAMINER	
UNILEVER PATENT DEPARTMENT				TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
45 RIVER ROAD EDGEWATER, NJ 07020				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1714 DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* * *		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/021,884	BOERZEL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Cephia D. Toomer	1714					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 •						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(7 12119 2010101 33 120	whore 121,					
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Page 5	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/021,884

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claims 15-17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim they must refer back to claims in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1- 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 it is not clear what "C1 – C4 – alkylO _" means. When Y is 0 –[C $(R6)_2$] v does not exit.

In claim 3, it is not clear what CO - C6 - alkyl - phenol means.

In clam 17, it is not clear what 3-CO - C6 - alkyl means.

Claim 9 is rejected because there is no antecedent support in claim 1 for the claimed ester group.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,884

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 15-17 are rejected because there is no antecedent support in claim 1 for the complex.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected because claim 1 is not directed to a ligand but to a bleaching composition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Appel (US 6,518,231).

Appel teaches a bleaching composition comprising an air bleaching catalyst substantially as set forth in the present claims. Apple teaches that the bleaching composition contains carriers, surfactants, detergent, etc (see col. 3, line 10 through col. 4, lines 43; col. 6 lines 1- 27),

Accordingly, Appel teaching all the limitation of the claims, anticipates the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,884

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apple (US 6, 518,231).

Appel has been discoursed above. Apple does not specification teach the salt of claim 20. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have prepared the salt because Appel teaches the transition metal complex and he teaches that salts of the compound are within the scope of his invention (see col. 9, lines 48 – 59).

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references are cited for teaching bleaching compositions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner

Lephia De Tooner

Art Unit 1714

10021884\093003